ECOCENE

CAPPADOCIA JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL HUMANITIES

Volume 6/ Issue 2/December 2025

The COVID-19 Pandemic: An Environmental Humanities Perspective

Unmasking Environmental Injustice: Intersectional Perspectives on COVID-19 'Social Distancing' and the Exclusion of Marginalized Communities

Nadine Jackson
nadinejackson849@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0004-4967-0459

Jackson, Nadine. 2025. "Unmasking Environmental Injustice: Intersectional Perspectives on COVID-19 'Social Distancing' and the Exclusion of Marginalized Communities." *Ecocene: Cappadocia Journal of Environmental Humanities* 6, no. 2 (December): 88-108. https://doi.org/10.46863/ecocene.130.

Research Article / Received: 18.08.2023 /Accepted: 16.12.2024

Unmasking Environmental Injustice: Intersectional Perspectives on COVID-19 'Social Distancing' and the Exclusion of Marginalized Communities

by Nadine Jackson

●

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed and exacerbated existing environmental and health inequities, yet limited research has examined how social distancing policies intersect with environmental justice concerns. This article investigates how seemingly neutral distancing guidelines functioned as mechanisms of environmental injustice in marginalized US communities. Drawing on existing research, this article evaluates physical and social dimensions of COVID-19 transmission patterns through methodology combining spatial analysis, and environmental justice frameworks, including intersectionality and Laura Pulido's theory of racial capitalism. Statistical analysis reveals communities of color face 56-63% higher exposure to industrial pollutants, correlating with increased mortality rates. Airborne transmission research shows that standard distancing protocols were particularly ineffective in crowded, poorly ventilated spaces common in under-resourced communities. Historical practices of racial segregation directly predict contemporary COVID-19 mortality patterns, with some marginalized communities experiencing death rates 3.1 times higher than affluent areas. These findings challenge conventional public health approaches and provide an evidence-based framework for equitable crisis responses that address environmental racism, healthcare inequities, and economic exploitation. This work contributes to environmental justice scholarship by exposing how standardized public health measures can reinforce and amplify existing disparities, while advocating for structural interventions that center health equity.

Keywords: COVID-19, social distancing, environmental justice, structural racism, intersectionality, public health policy

●

About the Author

Nadine Jackson is a critical social researcher and theorist who examines systemic inequities through biopolitical and necropolitical frameworks. Her work investigates structural and slow violence through intersectional analyses of environmental justice, colonial trauma, and resistance movements. Using critical epidemiology, psychology, trauma studies, and sociocultural linguistics, she examines how historical and contemporary forms of oppression manifest in environmental racism, public health crises, and imperial violence. Through collaborative research with communities, Jackson analyzes how oppressive legal structures and power dynamics impact marginalized populations.

●

Acknowledgments

This article is part of the special issue "The COVID-19 Pandemic: An Environmental Humanities Perspective," co-edited by Tatiana Konrad and Savannah Schaufler. This special issue emerges from the ongoing research on air in the context of the "Air and Environmental Health in the (Post-)COVID-19 World" project funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), and specifically from the 2023 conference *The Coronavirus Pandemic: An Environmental Humanities Perspective* at the University of Vienna. For more on the project, including the various research activities generated in its context, see the project website: https://airproject.univie.ac.at/.

FWF Österreichischer Wissenschaftsfonds

Unmasking Environmental Injustice: Intersectional Perspectives on COVID-19 'Social Distancing' and the Exclusion of Marginalized Communities

Nadine Jackson

This article critically examines how COVID-19 social distancing policies in the United States disproportionately impact marginalized communities and explores the multifaceted factors contributing to these disparities. Through an interdisciplinary lens combining intersectionality theory, social epidemiology, and environmental justice, this article reveals the complex interplay of social, economic, and environmental conditions that make social distancing particularly challenging for vulnerable populations within American society. Empirical evidence now substantiates the earlier prediction that COVID-19 infections and related mortality rates have been disproportionately distributed across racial groups in the US. As of October 2024, 1,193,706 COVID-related deaths occurred between January 2020 and August 2024. Hispanic Americans, who account for 19.5% of the population, make up 14.7% of these deaths. Similarly, Black Americans comprise 13.7% of the population but account for 13.6% of deaths, reflecting clear racial disparities in pandemic outcomes (US Census Bureau 2023; CDC 2024).

Several key issues underline these disparities, including overcrowded housing conditions in low-income areas, the overrepresentation of marginalized groups in essential worker roles, and increased environmental burdens, such as higher exposure to pollution, in these communities. These factors are compounded by limited access to and lack of trust in the American healthcare system, persistent food insecurity in underserved areas, and a severe lack of accessible green spaces in US cities. Furthermore, multi-generational households, common among certain ethnic groups in North America, and housing instability exacerbate the difficulties of adhering to social distancing guidelines. The long-term impacts of spatial segregation in US cities, rooted in historical practices such as redlining—a discriminatory mid-twentieth century practice where

banks and insurers systematically denied services to residents in predominantly Black and minority neighborhoods—continue to shape patterns of urban inequality. This legacy still affects the uneven distribution of resources and pandemic-related hardships across different demographics. By scrutinizing the efficacy of these purportedly egalitarian interventions, this article demonstrates how seemingly neutral public health measures can exacerbate pre-existing inequalities. It calls for a profound reimagining of US policymaking—one that prioritizes justice, collective healing, and the lived experiences of historically underserved and overlooked communities. Integrating both theoretical and practical perspectives, this article seeks to drive structural changes towards more equitable and inclusive public health responses.

Race, Racism, and Health Disparities in the US

In the United States, the concepts of race and racism fundamentally shape social, economic, and health outcomes, playing a significant role in the COVID-19 pandemic's disparate impacts. Race, a social construct rather than a biological reality, categorizes people based on physical characteristics and ancestral origins. It differs from ethnicity, which refers to cultural identity, including shared language, traditions, and nationality. The American fixation on race, rooted in its history of slavery and segregation, has led to the institutionalization of racism—a system of advantage and oppression based on race (Bonilla-Silva 2017). This framework permeates nearly every aspect of American life, including health outcomes. Public health research consistently documents racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and mortality rates among minoritized populations, compared to whites, even when controlling for underlying comorbidities (Bassett, Chen, and Krieger 2020; Quinn and Kumar 2014).

The long-term impacts of institutionalized racism are reflected in environmental disparities, particularly in relation to exposure to pollutants. Redlining, a discriminatory practice initiated in the 1930s, systematically denied financial services and resources—such as mortgages and insurance—to Black and Hispanic neighborhoods, confining these populations to areas with disproportionately high environmental hazards (Rothstein 2018). This practice not only restricted economic mobility, but also entrenched spatial inequalities that persist today. Geospatial analysis confirms that Black and Hispanic populations are systematically exposed to 56% and 63% more fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) from industrial sources, compared to their white counterparts, regardless of income (Mikati et al. 2018; Tessum et al. 2019). $PM_{2.5}$, airborne particles less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter, can penetrate deep into the lungs and bloodstream, causing respiratory diseases, cardiovascular problems, and premature death (Di et al. 2017). These disparities are further reflected in COVID-19 mortality rates.

Areas with higher $PM_{2.5}$ pollution levels have seen increased COVID-19 deaths, exacerbating the pandemic's toll on already vulnerable communities (Wu et al. 2020).

A case study from New York City details the profound impact of redlining on present-day health outcomes. In historically redlined neighborhoods, such as those assigned "D" grades by the Home Owners' Loan Corporation (HOLC) in the 1930s, predominantly Black and Hispanic communities continue to experience disproportionately high COVID-19 infection and mortality rates. A multilevel regression analysis of zip code tabulation areas (ZCTAs) in NYC from April to July 2020 revealed that areas with a higher proportion of Black and Hispanic populations were significantly more vulnerable to COVID-19 infection. Even ZCTAs with present-day economic and racial privilege, but with a history of redlining, showed elevated infection rates. The 11239 zip code in southeast Brooklyn, home to many African American residents, recorded nearly double the death rate compared to more affluent, predominantly white neighborhoods like East Harlem (Li and Yuan 2022).

A broader geographical analysis exposes how deeply entrenched health disparities are, exacerbated by historical redlining. Across the US, regions with higher concentrations of poverty and racial segregation have consistently shown higher COVID-19 mortality rates. For example, in Massachusetts, cities with higher levels of household crowding, populations of color, and economic segregation saw mortality rates surge up to 3.1 times higher than in less segregated and wealthier areas during the pandemic's peak in April 2020 (Krieger, Waterman, and Chen 2020). Similar patterns were observed in the Navajo Nation, which, by May 2020, reported the highest per capita COVID-19 infection rate in the United States at 2,304 cases per 100,000 people, far exceeding the rates in states like New York and New Jersey. The Navajo Nation's high mortality rate was exacerbated by limited access to healthcare, long-standing economic disadvantages, and systemic barriers to timely testing and treatment, illustrating the profound impact of geographic and racial inequities on health outcomes during the pandemic (Kahn et al. 2023).

Historical Context of Social Distancing

Throughout history, physical distancing has been both a public health measure and a tool of social control, often used to marginalize vulnerable groups along racial, ethnic, and religious lines. Understanding this past clarifies how notions of enforced distance continue to underpin the marginalization of vulnerable groups, despite shifting justifications.

In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, Jim Crow laws in the United States engendered the segregation and subjugation of Black Americans through a pernicious logic of "separate but equal." Policies like "whites only" drinking fountains enforced punitive social distances, and although eventually overturned by civil rights struggles, the toxic legacies of Jim Crow still cast long shadows, perpetuating marginalization, and divestment from Black communities (Woodward 2001). Similar patterns emerged across the globe, as public health imperatives provided cover for political agendas of demonization, division, and disenfranchisement (Bashford 2006). During apartheid in South Africa, spatial segregation reinforced racial hierarchies under the guise of maintaining social order. Historically, outbreaks of leprosy and plague were often used to isolate marginalized groups, further entrenching their exclusion (Strauss 2019). These examples highlight a persistent dynamic: physical distance as a mechanism of control and exclusion.

Today, modern social distancing directives carry forward these old logics of segregation, suspicion, and abandonment under the mantle of public health authority. While they appear to apply to all, they disproportionately burden marginalized and disadvantaged communities. During the COVID-19 pandemic, low-income communities of color—already more likely to live in overcrowded housing and work in high-risk essential jobs—faced significantly higher rates of infection and death (Asfaw 2022). These policies, though framed as universal protections, regularly magnified existing inequalities in housing, employment, and healthcare. As we reckon with the legacies of these practices, it becomes clear that public health strategies must account for their potential to perpetuate social inequities. Without addressing historical injustices, modern policies risk reinforcing the very hierarchies they claim to dismantle.

Social Distancing, Fluid Mechanics, and Airborne Transmission

Social distancing, a term used interchangeably with physical distancing in the United States, emerged as a key public health strategy during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, its efficacy—particularly in indoor spaces—has come under scrutiny as scientific understanding of SARS-CoV-2 transmission evolved.

In early 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) initially emphasized that COVID-19 primarily spread through respiratory droplets and surface contact (World Health Organization n.d). However, as evidence emerged, 239 scientists from 32 countries urged the WHO to recognize airborne transmission (Polianski 2021). This turning point in the discourse was reinforced by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) studies, which demonstrated that while physical distancing may reduce exposure to larger respiratory droplets, it fails to mitigate the inhalation of smaller infectious aerosols

that can travel extensively in poorly ventilated indoor environments (Trivedi et al. 2021). Speech generates jet-like respiratory droplets capable of traveling beyond the standard two-meter distancing guideline, with minimal reduction in infection probability between one and two meters (Abkarian et al. 2020). Additionally, turbulent airflow modeling at the University of Cambridge revealed that aerosolized particles, which can carry the virus, circulate through air currents in enclosed spaces, far exceeding the reach of distancing measures (Trivedi et al. 2021). The study emphasizes that ventilation, filtration, and high-quality masks are far more effective than distancing alone in such settings.

Epidemiological evidence from the "CHASING COVID" cohort study highlights how household conditions and overcrowding further exacerbate COVID-19 transmission risks. The study found that individuals living in multiunit dwellings with more than four people per household faced a significantly higher risk of severe COVID-19 illness and hospitalization, with an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of 2.5 (95% CI: 1.0 to 6.1) (Robertson et al. 2021). These findings indicate that overcrowded indoor environments, where sustained close contact is unavoidable, contribute to higher transmission rates and worse outcomes. The study reinforces the need for targeted public health interventions in overcrowded areas, where social distancing alone may be insufficient to prevent viral spread. Research found that without adequate ventilation, virus-laden aerosols can persist in the air for extended periods, posing significant risks in confined indoor spaces (Sun and Zhai 2020). However, employing air filtration systems and increasing air exchanges per hour in indoor environments significantly reduced the probability of infection (Sun and Zhai 2020). Public health guidelines were slow to adapt to this evidence. It was not until 2021 that the WHO formally acknowledged airborne transmission, a delay that has drawn substantial critique within the scientific community (World Health Organization 2021; Greenhalgh et al. 2021).

Methodology

This article presents a theoretical analysis that examines COVID-19 social distancing policies through environmental justice frameworks, drawing on existing spatial data and policy documents. The research synthesizes published environmental and health statistics with critical analysis of policy implementation and outcomes across marginalized communities. This analysis is grounded in two complementary theoretical frameworks that reveal how environmental injustice operates through intersecting systems of oppression. The first draws on American critical race and civil rights law scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw's intersectionality paradigm (Crenshaw 1989), which demonstrates how multiple forms of discrimination interact and compound to create

unique experiences of marginalization. Crenshaw's framework, emerging from Black feminist thought, reveals how individuals experiencing overlapping forms of oppression face distinct vulnerabilities that cannot be understood through single-axis analysis. In the context of COVID-19, intersectionality demonstrates how social distancing directives disproportionately affect individuals confronting simultaneous challenges of racial discrimination, economic instability, and gender-based inequalities. The second framework employs ethnic studies and geography scholar Laura Pulido's reconceptualization of racial capitalism (Pulido 2016), building on Cedric Robinson's foundational work (Robinson 1983), which established that racism is fundamental to capitalist development. Pulido extends Robinson's theory specifically to environmental justice, demonstrating how racial capitalism operates through environmental racism to create areas where communities of color disproportionately bear environmental burdens. Drawing on Bourdieu's theory of capital forms (Bourdieu 1986), Pulido's framework elucidates how environmental racism operates through the uneven distribution of social, economic, cultural, and symbolic capital, creating compound disadvantages for communities of color during public health crises. This perspective helps explain how supposedly neutral COVID-19 policies reinforce pre-existing disparities by failing to account for how racial capitalism structures access to environmental resources, healthcare, and safe living conditions.

The article draws from multiple data sources, integrating spatial analysis, policy examination, and intersectional investigation. These sources include public health data on COVID-19 infections, hospitalizations, and mortality rates; environmental quality measurements of industrial pollutant levels and air quality; spatial data on historical redlining and contemporary demographic patterns; policy documents related to social distancing implementation; and existing research on COVID-19's disparate impacts. The analysis maps environmental hazards in relation to marginalized communities while establishing geographic correlations between COVID-19 outcomes and historical redlining patterns. Policy examination focuses on social distancing directives and their implementation patterns across different communities, with particular attention to impacts on essential workers and multi-generational households. Intersectional analysis reveals how race, class, and gender compound COVID-19 vulnerabilities, while investigating how environmental racism and economic conditions shape communities' abilities to adhere to public health guidelines.

The research acknowledges limitations including challenges in generalizing findings across diverse contexts, potential gaps in available health data for marginalized communities, and complexity in isolating specific causal factors in health outcomes. The research prioritizes respectful representation of marginalized communities, attention to

historical context and systemic inequities, and centering of historically underrepresented perspectives.

Health Inequities: Structural and Spatial Dimensions

Social epidemiology reveals the unequal distribution of COVID-19 risks and outcomes along racial, economic, and spatial lines. US studies using local, state, and national data reveal racial disparities in COVID-19 mortality. Age-adjusted all-cause mortality was 4.17 times higher for non-Hispanic Blacks and 2.29 times higher for Hispanics than for non-Hispanic Whites (Polyakova et al. 2021). These disparities are manifestations of structural racism, which systematically denies communities of color access to healthcare infrastructure, perpetuates residential segregation, and channels these populations into high-risk, under-resourced environments (Williams, Lawrence, and Davis 2019). Such mechanisms ensure that inequalities in public health outcomes are not incidental but embedded in the very fabric of societal organization. These disparities reflect how limited access to health insurance, medical facilities, and preventive care systematically disadvantages these communities. Further, implicit bias in healthcare settings contributes to poorer quality treatment for Black and Hispanic patients (Nuriddin, Mooney, and White 2020), reinforcing cycles of health inequity. Crenshaw's intersectionality theory helps explain these inequities: race, class, and geography interact to create compounded vulnerabilities. For example, being Black, low-income, and living in an urban area with poor air quality intensifies risks beyond any single factor, leading to worse outcomes (Quinn and Kumar 2014). In cities like Detroit and New Orleans, Black residents not only faced higher exposure to COVID-19 but also endured pre-existing environmental burdens, such as higher levels of air pollution, which directly correlate with increased respiratory illnesses (Holmes et al. 2020).

Beyond racial disparities in mortality rates experienced by Black, Indigenous, and Hispanic populations, Asian American and Pacific Islander (AAPI) populations also faced unique disparities, compounded by anti-Asian violence and xenophobia that deterred individuals from seeking care. State-to-state variations in COVID-19 mortality were significant for AAPI populations, with some states showing mortality rates nearly double the population share, particularly among essential workers in health care and transportation sectors (Andraska et al. 2021). Similarly, Native American and Alaska Native (AIAN) communities faced disproportionately high infection rates due to a lack of healthcare access, food insecurity, and multigenerational living conditions, which heightened vulnerabilities to severe outcomes.

Class also powerfully contours vulnerability. In a nationwide county-level analysis encompassing over 300 million people, higher poverty rates aligned with escalating

COVID-19 mortality, as economic deprivation was embodied through occupational exposure, food insecurity, crowded housing, and reduced access to healthcare (Fielding-Miller, Sundaram, and Brouwer 2020). Essential workers, disproportionately from Black and Hispanic communities, were overrepresented in public-facing sectors like healthcare aides, public transportation, and food service. These occupations involved close contact with the public and minimal job security, significantly heightening infection risk and exposure (Asfaw 2022).

The lack of adequate labor protections for essential workers—such as hazard pay, sick leave, and healthcare benefits—left these communities vulnerable. The failure of policymakers to address these needs highlights the intersection of racial capitalism and public health, where profit is prioritized over the well-being of marginalized workers (Pulido 2016). This dynamic is further illustrated in the spatial arrangements of oppressed populations in urban and rural settings, which reflect deliberate exclusion and health disparities. A 2012 study found that racial and ethnic minorities in US city neighborhoods had fewer grocery stores, more fast-food outlets, fewer places to exercise, and more tobacco and alcohol advertisements compared to white neighborhoods (Hilmers, Hilmers, and Dave 2012). These patterns are not coincidental but intentional, a reflection of capitalist systems profiting from exploitation. The pandemic deepened these systemic inequities, with food insecurity becoming a distressing indicator of its unequal impact. A longitudinal study from the Household Pulse Survey (HPS) revealed that food scarcity rates among Black adults (14%), Hispanic adults (12.1%), and other racial minorities far exceeded those of white adults (5.3%) and Asian adults (3.1%) during the pandemic (Morita and Kato 2023). From June to December 2020, food scarcity increased across all racial groups, paralleling the implementation of strict social distancing measures. This trend shows how policies intended to protect public health deepened economic hardship for vulnerable populations.

Healthcare access became a matter of life and death during the pandemic. Historically burdened by lack of insurance, distant healthcare facilities, and systemic distrust due to past medical abuses, these communities faced even greater barriers (Corbie-Smith 1999). Black and Hispanic populations encountered more difficulties accessing healthcare and were less likely to have a regular healthcare provider compared to white counterparts, facing reduced access to life-saving medical treatments such as monoclonal antibodies and ventilators (Mackey et al. 2021). Monoclonal antibodies are laboratory-produced molecules that mimic the immune system's ability to fight harmful pathogens like the coronavirus. These treatments, when administered early, can reduce the severity of COVID-19 by neutralizing the virus, but they were not equitably distributed. Minority communities often struggled to access these therapies due to

resource shortages and logistical barriers, further exacerbating health disparities. This disparity extended to crisis care, with hospitals serving minority communities facing severe resource shortages and often rationing care during COVID-19 surges (Schmidt et al. 2022). Testing centers were unevenly distributed, leaving under-resourced areas less equipped to manage COVID-19 cases early, contributing to more severe illness and death (Dalva-Baird et al. 2021). In some cases, implicit bias in triage protocols led to preferential treatment for affluent patients (Supady et al. 2021).

The distribution of personal protective equipment (PPE) during the COVID-19 pandemic further exposed structural inequalities in healthcare. What should have been a universal safeguard instead became a symbol of privilege, as affluent communities, and high-status essential workers, like doctors, readily accessed high-grade PPE, such as N95 masks. In contrast, low-income and minority communities struggled to secure even basic surgical masks, exacerbating their vulnerability to infection. Research confirms that essential workers, disproportionately Black, Latine, and from other marginalized backgrounds, faced compounded risks due to occupational exposure and inadequate protective measures (Jason et al. 2024). The PPE shortage was not a simple logistical failure but the result of systemic dysfunction. A review of the US healthcare system's PPE supply issues identifies four primary contributing factors: a hospital budgeting model that prioritized cost-cutting over preparedness, a demand shock from both healthcare needs and marketplace panic, the federal government's failure to maintain and distribute inventories, and disruptions to the global PPE supply chain. These failures made PPE procurement costly and competitive, disproportionately disadvantaging under-resourced communities (Cohen and Rodgers 2020). A lack of PPE for healthcare workers not only puts them at direct risk but also undermines the healthcare system's ability to function. Sick healthcare workers contribute to viral transmission, further destabilizing an already overwhelmed system. Ensuring adequate PPE is thus not only a worker protection issue but a fundamental component of infection control and overall public health.

Beyond physical health, the pandemic's mental health toll was severe in marginalized communities. Black and Hispanic Americans were more likely to report anxiety, depression, and stress, further compounding the challenges they faced. Studies show that the disproportionately high rates of death and illness in their communities exacerbated this mental health toll, as did the isolation and economic hardships caused by the pandemic. Furthermore, mental health services in these communities were often inaccessible, due to both stigma and a lack of culturally competent care providers (Dailey, Talleyrand, and Goodman 2024). This mental health burden highlights how race, class, and geography intersect to shape health outcomes, showing that systemic

inequities affect both physical and mental well-being. Without addressing mental health in marginalized populations, future public health interventions will remain incomplete.

Recent research further complicates the role of COVID-19 vaccines in mitigating these disparities. While vaccines were effective in reducing severe illness and hospitalization, evidence shows they were less successful in preventing infection or shortening illness duration (Buchan et al. 2022; Langlete et al. 2023). Studies found that vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic infection dropped significantly with Omicron compared to Delta. Two doses provided limited protection against Omicron infection, and while a booster improved effectiveness, it waned over time (Andrews et al. 2022). Similarly, research found that vaccinated individuals who became infected had viral loads comparable to unvaccinated individuals, suggesting vaccination did not significantly reduce virus transmission or illness duration (Puhach et al. 2022). These findings challenge the expectation that vaccines alone could control the pandemic, particularly in marginalized communities already facing barriers to healthcare access. Vaccination efforts were hampered by logistical challenges, misinformation, and historical mistrust in the healthcare system, further deepening inequities in pandemic outcomes (Van Egeren et al. 2023; Brüssow and Zuber 2022).

Environmental Racism and Pandemic Vulnerability

Systemic racism has historically shaped urban landscapes in the United States, with redlining confining minority populations to areas with high environmental hazards. These communities face significantly higher exposure to pollutants such as fine particulate matter, which has been linked to a range of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. As a result, they entered the COVID-19 pandemic already vulnerable due to pre-existing conditions linked to environmental degradation (Flores et al. 2018; Mikati et al. 2018). The pandemic disproportionately impacted these communities, with Black and Hispanic populations seeing COVID-19 infection rates over two to three times higher than their white counterparts, and hospitalization and death rates similarly elevated (Jason et al. 2024).

Pulido's concept of racial capitalism reveals how these dynamics are not incidental, but intentionally structured to devalue certain populations for the benefit of economic growth. Communities of color are routinely turned into sacrifice zones, where industrial pollution is concentrated, intensifying the health disparities that made them more susceptible to COVID-19 complications. Empirical evidence demonstrates the extent of this environmental injustice: redlined neighborhoods contain twice as many toxic Superfund sites as non-redlined areas (Shkembi, Smith, and Neitzel 2024), residents in these communities experience a 61% higher air pollution burden than the national

average (Estrada et al. 2022), and counties with higher populations of people of color face 10-50% higher concentrations of industrial air pollution compared to predominantly white counties (Tessum et al. 2021). Data from Louisiana's Cancer Alley, where petrochemical facilities dominate, showed that COVID-19 mortality rates were significantly higher in areas closest to industrial pollution sources (Wu et al. 2020). These findings align with the broader pattern of racial capitalism, where environmental harm is disproportionately borne by marginalized communities to safeguard the prosperity of wealthier, predominantly white populations. Exposure to environmental pollutants in marginalized communities contributes to elevated rates of chronic conditions—while asthma has not shown a strong correlation with severe COVID-19 cases (Ubah, Kearney, and Pokhrel 2024), other conditions closely tied to air pollution, such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes, remain significant risk factors for severe COVID-19 outcomes (Jung and Choi 2023).

These statistics demonstrate the intersectional nature of environmental injustice. Race, class, and geographic location intersect to create disproportionate exposure to environmental hazards. Indigenous groups own just 2% of their ancestral land, much of which is contaminated by lethal environmental hazards in both land and water (Farrell et al. 2021). These communities are disproportionately exposed to industrial pollution, including mining waste and contaminated water sources, which elevate rates of chronic health conditions such as respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. Recent studies reveal that these health disparities—compounded by limited access to healthcare and overcrowded housing—significantly increased the vulnerability of Indigenous populations to severe COVID-19 outcomes (Bime et al. 2023). In the Navajo Nation, where uranium mining has left a legacy of contaminated water, the COVID-19 infection rate was among the highest in the US, with a per capita rate exceeding that of most states (Denetclaw et al. 2022).

Transformative Change and the Role of Science and Technology

The pandemic response, particularly the rapid development and distribution of COVID-19 vaccines, has highlighted both remarkable scientific achievements and significant ethical and socioeconomic challenges. The global collaboration behind mRNA vaccines represents an unprecedented accomplishment, with clinical trials demonstrating over 90% efficacy in preventing severe illness (Lurie et al. 2020). However, these successes also expose systemic disparities rooted in racial capitalism, as minority populations were underrepresented in many clinical trials, raising concerns about differential long-term impacts on these communities (Wouters et al. 2021). Historical mistrust stemming from unethical medical practices, such as the Tuskegee Syphilis Study, and structural barriers

limited participation, perpetuating health inequities (Alsan and Wanamaker 2018). The push for widespread vaccination, often enforced through mandates or social pressure, disproportionately burdened lower-income individuals who lacked the economic freedom to refuse vaccination (Mackey et al. 2021). This scenario exemplifies Crenshaw's intersectionality, where overlapping identities—race, class, and occupation—compound disadvantages. In contrast, higher-income groups had greater autonomy over healthcare decisions. This disparity in vaccine choice and potential exposure to adverse effects exacerbated existing socioeconomic inequalities, particularly affecting communities of color (Clouston, Hanes, and Link 2023).

Community-led initiatives have emerged as powerful catalysts for change during the pandemic. Mutual aid networks, like "The Love Fridge Chicago" mobilized rapidly, often outperforming government responses in delivering essential supplies and addressing food insecurity in marginalized communities (Lofton et al. 2022). Studies have shown that these grassroots efforts provided essential support to significant portions of vulnerable populations in urban centers, demonstrating the agility and effectiveness of decentralized, community-centered crisis responses (Domínguez et al. 2020). These initiatives challenge the capitalist status quo by redistributing resources based on need rather than profit, aligning with Pulido's (2016) critique of racial capitalism.

In healthcare, the pandemic accelerated the adoption of telemedicine and remote health monitoring technologies, offering potential solutions to bridge access gaps in underserved areas (Wosik et al. 2020). However, the rapid shift toward digital health has also highlighted the digital divide: approximately 34% of low-income households lack reliable internet access, exacerbating healthcare disparities (Mcclain 2021). Structural barriers such as inadequate infrastructure investment and lower digital literacy levels in marginalized communities contribute to this divide. To ensure telemedicine becomes a tool for health equity, policymakers must invest in broadband infrastructure, subsidize internet services, and expand digital literacy programs, particularly in rural and underserved areas (Brookings Institution, n.d.).

Science and technology have also played a leading role in governance during the pandemic. Digital contact tracing apps highlighted technology's potential to bolster public health efforts but raised ethical concerns about privacy and surveillance, especially in marginalized communities historically subjected to disproportionate monitoring (Afroogh et al. 2022). Predictive modeling revealed challenges in applying data-driven technologies to complex, socially dynamic issues. Models often failed to account for unique conditions in marginalized communities—such as overcrowded housing and limited healthcare access—that compound virus transmission rates

(Holmdahl and Buckee 2020). These shortcomings expose how technological solutions, when not grounded in an intersectional understanding of society, can inadvertently perpetuate systemic inequities.

To address these issues, integrating science and technology into community-led initiatives is imperative. Engaging those most affected by public health crises in the design and implementation of technological tools ensures that solutions are culturally relevant and address actual needs. Participatory technology design empowers marginalized groups and ensures technology serves rather than exploits vulnerable populations (Harrington, Erete, and Piper 2019). Programs like community-based digital literacy workshops and co-developed health apps have shown promise in bridging gaps and fostering trust (Morton, Barnes, and Michalak 2020). Democratizing science and incorporating diverse epistemologies enables technology to serve as a tool for dismantling systemic barriers and promoting health equity.

Centering Affected Voices

The disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on communities of color necessitates their meaningful inclusion in both policy-making processes and public discourse. Historical exclusion from policy decisions and media narratives has left these populations vulnerable to environmental hazards, inadequate healthcare, and economic disparities—all intensified by the pandemic. While mainstream media coverage of COVID-19 often overlooked or misrepresented Black, Indigenous, Hispanic, and immigrant communities' experiences, grassroots journalists, community storytellers, and local media outlets provided crucial counter-narratives that challenged dominant frameworks of understanding the pandemic. Addressing these systemic issues requires transformative approaches across multiple domains: from policy-making to media representation, from academic research to public health communication. This transformation must be grounded in community-led initiatives through diverse channels: participatory research, digital storytelling, social media activism, and cultural production that centers affected communities' lived experiences.

A critical concern is the inadequate environmental accountability in marginalized areas. Corporations operating in low-income, historically redlined neighborhoods often conceal environmental data, enabling unchecked pollution that disproportionately affects communities of color. Requiring corporations, especially those in high-risk areas, to share real-time information on pollution levels, chemical emissions, and environmental health risks via a government-monitored, open-source platform, will enhance transparency. This empowers communities to advocate for their health and holds corporations accountable for environmental stewardship. Empirical evidence from

Superfund sites demonstrates that transparency leads to more effective cleanup efforts and increased public engagement in environmental decision-making (Zaragoza 2019).

The undue influence of private sector interests in public health policy has led to decisions prioritizing profit over people, resulting in ineffective or harmful responses during health crises. Abolishing private interests in public health decision-making is imperative. Legally prohibiting corporate involvement and subjecting all public health-related contracts, advisories, and funding to independent review will ensure policies prioritize the well-being of marginalized populations without conflicting interests undermining public health objectives. Studies show that when corporate interests influence health policies, vulnerable communities disproportionately suffer from harmful outcomes (Freeman et al. 2023).

Centralized public health policies have failed to address localized needs, particularly in overcrowded and under-resourced communities. Universal measures like blanket social distancing guidelines neglected the realities of these areas, contributing to disproportionate harm. Decentralizing public health decision-making by establishing local councils that include community representatives facilitates the implementation of solutions tailored to specific cultural and economic contexts.

Unregulated corporate operations in polluted areas contribute to long-term environmental and health damage. Supporting communities to conduct independent audits of corporate environmental practices backed by federal funding and legal authority, ensures accountability and enables direct action to mitigate environmental harm. Case studies indicate that community oversight leads to more sustainable corporate behavior and improved environmental outcomes (Sun, Clarke, and MacDonald 2020).

Addressing systemic racial and economic health inequities requires concrete reparative actions. Legislation providing environmental reparations to redlined communities should focus on improving healthcare access, environmental conditions, and economic opportunities. Longitudinal studies demonstrate that reparative justice programs result in improved health and economic outcomes for these communities (Deivanayagam et al. 2023).

Implementation requires restructuring institutional practices to ensure communities most affected by environmental injustice have decisive roles in policy development. Success should be measured through reduced health disparities, improved environmental conditions, and sustained community leadership in decision-making processes.

Conclusion

This investigation into the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 social distancing policies on marginalized communities in the United States yields critical insights that extend beyond the immediate pandemic context. By integrating Crenshaw's intersectionality theory with Pulido's concept of racial capitalism, this analysis reveals how ostensibly neutral public health measures have functioned as mechanisms reinforcing historical patterns of oppression and inequality. The article's findings demonstrate that standardized social distancing guidelines, while presented as universal protections, systematically disadvantaged communities already bearing disproportionate burdens of environmental racism, economic exploitation, and healthcare inequities. The theoretical implications of this research advance environmental justice scholarship in several key ways. First, the analysis extends intersectionality theory by empirically demonstrating how multiple vectors of oppression—including race, class, and geographic location—compound vulnerability during public health crises. Second, the application of racial capitalism to pandemic response measures shows how market-driven healthcare systems and environmental policies continue to produce racialized outcomes, even when operating through seemingly neutral technical guidelines. Third, the integration of fluid dynamics modeling with environmental justice frameworks provides a novel methodological approach for examining how physical mechanisms of disease transmission intersect with social structures of inequality.

The policy implications of these findings demand fundamental restructuring of public health and environmental governance. My research points to five essential interventions: (1) mandating public disclosure of real-time environmental data through government-monitored platforms; (2) removing private sector influence from public health decision-making through strict regulatory frameworks; (3) decentralizing public health authority by establishing community-led councils with genuine decision-making power; (4) enabling community-led environmental audits supported by federal funding; and (5) implementing environmental reparations programs targeting historically redlined areas. These interventions must be accompanied by substantial investment in healthcare infrastructure and economic support, with success measured through both quantitative health outcomes and qualitative assessments of community engagement.

This article's limitations point to crucial areas for future research. While my analysis focuses on urban communities in the United States, comparative studies examining rural populations and international contexts could further show how environmental injustice shapes pandemic vulnerability. Additionally, longitudinal studies tracking the long-term

health impacts of COVID-19 in environmentally overburdened communities will be essential for understanding the full scope of pandemic-related disparities. Future research should also investigate how community-based interventions and Indigenous knowledge systems might inform more equitable public health responses. This research demonstrates that achieving health equity requires more than technical solutions or individual-level interventions. It demands recognition of how historical patterns of environmental racism and economic exploitation continue to shape contemporary health outcomes.

References

Abkarian, Manouk, Simon Mendez, Nan Xue, Fan Yang, Howard A. Stone. 2020. "Speech Can Produce Jet-like Transport Relevant to Asymptomatic Spreading of Virus." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117 (41): 25237–45. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2012156117.

Afroogh, Saleh, Amir Esmalian, Ali Mostafavi, Ali Akbari, Kambiz Rasoulkhani, Shahriar Esmaeili, and Ehsan Hajiramezanali. 2022. "Tracing App Technology: An Ethical Review in the COVID-19 Era and Directions for Post-COVID-19." *Ethics and Information Technology* 24 (3): 30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-022-09659-6.

Alsan, Marcella, and Marianne Wanamaker. 2018. "Tuskegee and the Health of Black Men." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 133 (1): 407–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjx029.

Andraska, Elizabeth Ann, Olamide Alabi, Chelsea Dorsey, Young Erben, Gabriela Velazquez, Camila Franco-Mesa, Ulka Sachdev. 2021. "Health Care Disparities during the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Seminars in Vascular Surgery* 34 (3): 82–88. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semvascsurg.2021.08.002.

Andrews, Nick, Julia Stowe, Freja Kirsebom, Samuel Toffa, Tim Rickeard, Eileen Gallagher, Charlotte Gower, et al. 2022. "Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant." *New England Journal of Medicine* 386 (16): 1532–46. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2119451.

Asfaw, Abay. 2022. "Racial Disparity in Potential Occupational Exposure to COVID-19." *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities* 9 (5): 1726–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-021-01110-8.

Bashford, Alison. 2006. *Medicine at the Border: Disease, Globalization and Security, 1850 to the Present.* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Bassett, Mary T., Jarvis T. Chen, Nancy Krieger. 2020. "Variation in Racial/Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19 Mortality by Age in the United States: A Cross-Sectional Study." *PLoS Medicine* 17 (10): e1003402. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003402.

Bime, Christian, Ying Wang, Gordon Carr, et al. 2023. "Disparities in Outcomes of COVID-19 Hospitalizations in Native American Individuals." *Frontiers in Public Health* 11: 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1220582.

Bonilla-Silva, Eduardo. 2017. *Racism without Racists: Color-Blind Racism and the Persistence of Racial Inequality in America.* 5th ed. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.

Bourdieu, Pierre. 1986. "The Forms of Capital." In *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*, edited by John G. Richardson, 241–58. New York: Greenwood Press.

Brüssow, Harald, Sophie Zuber. 2022. "Can a Combination of Vaccination and Face Mask Wearing Contain the COVID-19 Pandemic?" *Microbial Biotechnology* 15 (3): 721–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13997.

Brookings Institution. n.d. "Why the Federal Government Needs to Step up Efforts to Close the Rural Broadband Divide." Accessed October 9, 2024. https://www.brookings.edu/articles/why-the-federal-government-needs-to-step-up-their-efforts-to-close-the-rural-broadband-divide/.

Buchan, Sarah A., Hannah Chung, Kevin A. Brown, et al. 2022. "Estimated Effectiveness of COVID-19 Vaccines Against Omicron or Delta Symptomatic Infection and Severe Outcomes." *JAMA Network Open* 5 (9): e2232760. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.32760.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. n.d. "CDC COVID Data Tracker." Accessed September 12, 2024. https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#demographics.

Clouston, Sean A. P., Douglas W. Hanes, Bruce G. Link. 2023. "Social Inequalities and the Early Provision and Dispersal of COVID-19 Vaccinations in the United States: A Population Trends Study." *Vaccine* 41 (36): 5322–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.07.022.

Cohen, Jennifer, Yana van der Meulen Rodgers. 2020. "Contributing Factors to Personal Protective Equipment Shortages during the COVID-19 Pandemic." *Preventive Medicine* 141: 106263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2020.106263.

Corbie-Smith, Giselle. 1999. "The Continuing Legacy of the Tuskegee Syphilis Study: Considerations for Clinical Investigation." *American Journal of Medical Sciences* 317 (1): 5–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000441-199901000-00002.

Crenshaw, Kimberlé. 1989. "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics." *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 1989 (8): 139–67.

Dailey, Stephanie F., Regine M. Talleyrand, Rachael D. Goodman. 2024. "Ethno-Racial Inequities of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Implications and Recommendations for Mental Health Professionals." *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling* 46 (2): 441–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10447-024-09551-6.

Dalva-Baird, Nathan P., Wilson M. Alobuia, Eran Bendavid, Jay Bhattacharya. 2021. "Racial and Ethnic Inequities in the Early Distribution of U.S. COVID-19 Testing Sites and Mortality." *European Journal of Clinical Investigation* 51 (11): e13669. https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.13669.

Deivanayagam, Thilagawathi Abi, Sonora English, Jason Hickel, et al. 2023. "Envisioning Environmental Equity: Climate Change, Health, and Racial Justice." *The Lancet* 402 (10395): 64–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00919-4.

Denetclaw, Wilfred F., Zara K. Otto, Samantha Christie, et al. 2022. "Diné Navajo Resilience to the COVID-19 Pandemic." *PLoS One* 17 (8): e0272089. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272089.

Di, Qian, Yan Wang, Antonella Zanobetti, et al. 2017. "Air Pollution and Mortality in the Medicare Population." *New England Journal of Medicine* 376 (26): 2513–22. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1702747.

Domínguez, Daniela G., Dellanira García, David A. Martínez, Belinda Hernandez-Arriaga. 2020. "Leveraging the Power of Mutual Aid, Coalitions, Leadership, and Advocacy during COVID-19." *American Psychologist* 75 (7): 909–18. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000693.

Estrada, Leah V., Jessica L. Levasseur, Alexandra Maxim, Gabriel A. Benavidez, Keshia M. Pollack Porter. 2022. "Structural Racism, Place, and COVID-19: A Narrative Review Describing How We Prepare for an Endemic COVID-19 Future." *Health Equity* 6 (1): 356–66. https://doi.org/10.1089/heq.2021.0190.

Farrell, Justin, Paul Berne Burow, Kathryn McConnell, Jude Bayham, Kyle Whyte, Gal Koss. 2021. "Effects of Land Dispossession and Forced Migration on Indigenous Peoples in North America." *Science* 374 (578): 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe4943.

Fielding-Miller, Rebecca K., Maria E. Sundaram, Kimberly Brouwer. 2020. "Social Determinants of COVID-19 Mortality at the County Level." *PLoS One* 15 (10): e0240151. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240151.

Flores, Aaron, N. D. B. Connolly, LaDale Winling, Robert K. Nelson, Richard Marciano. 2018. "Mapping Inequality: Redlining in New Deal America." In *The Routledge Companion to Spatial History*, edited by Don Lafreniere, 502–24. New York: Routledge.

Freeman, Toby, Fran Baum, Connie Musolino, et al. 2023. "Illustrating the Impact of Commercial Determinants of Health on the Global COVID-19 Pandemic: Thematic Analysis of 16 Country Case Studies." *Health Policy* 134: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2023.104860.

Greenhalgh, Trisha, Jose L. Jimenez, Kimberly A. Prather, Zeynep Tufekci, David Fisman, Robert Schooley. 2021. "Ten Scientific Reasons in Support of Airborne Transmission of SARS-CoV-2." *The Lancet* 397 (10285): 1603–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00869-2.

Harrington, Christina, Sheena Erete, Anne Marie Piper. 2019. "Deconstructing Community-Based Collaborative Design." *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3: 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359318.

Hilmers, Angela, David C. Hilmers, Jayna Dave. 2012. "Neighborhood Disparities in Access to Healthy Foods and Their Effects on Environmental Justice." *American Journal of Public Health* 102 (9): 1644–54. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.300865.

Holmdahl, Inga, Caroline Buckee. 2020. "Wrong but Useful: What Covid-19 Epidemiologic Models Can and Cannot Tell Us." *New England Journal of Medicine* 383 (4): 303–5. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2016822.

Holmes, Laurens, Michael Enwere, Janille Williams, et al. 2020. "Black-White Risk Differentials in COVID-19 (SARS-COV2) Transmission, Mortality and Case Fatality in the United States: Translational Epidemiologic Perspective and Challenges." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17 (12): 4322. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124322.

Jason, Kendra, Miguel Wilson, Jamel Catoe, Courtney Brown, Mayleen Gonzalez. 2024. "The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Black and Hispanic Americans' Work Outcomes: A Scoping Review." *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities* 11 (3): 1157–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-023-01594-6.

Jung, Hee Sun, Jae Woo Choi. 2023. "Association between COVID-19 and Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease and All-Cause Mortality among Patients with Diabetes." *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 14: 1230176. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1230176.

Kahn, Carmella B., DeeDee James, Shawndeena George, et al. 2023. "Diné (Navajo) Traditional Knowledge Holders' Perspective of COVID-19." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 20 (4): 3728. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20043728.

Krieger, Nancy, Pamela D. Waterman, Jarvis T. Chen. 2020. "COVID-19 and Overall Mortality Inequities in the Surge in Death Rates by Zip Code Characteristics: Massachusetts, January 1 to May 19, 2020." *American Journal of Public Health* 110 (12): 1850–52. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305913.

Langlete, Petter, Martin Tesli, Lamprini Veneti, Jostein Starrfelt, Petter Elstrøm, Hinta Meijerink. 2023. "Estimated Vaccine Effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron Infections among Health Care Workers and the General Adult Population in Norway, August 2021–January 2022." *Vaccine* 41 (26): 3923–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.05.022.

Li, Min, Faxi Yuan. 2022. "Historical Redlining and Resident Exposure to COVID-19: A Study of New York City." *Race and Social Problems* 14 (2): 85–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12552-021-09338-z.

Lofton, Saria, Marjorie Kersten, Shannon D. Simonovich, Akilah Martin. 2022. "Mutual Aid Organisations and Their Role in Reducing Food Insecurity in Chicago's Urban Communities during COVID-19." *Public Health Nutrition* 25 (1): 119–22. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980021003736.

Lurie, Nicole, Melanie Saville, Richard Hatchett, Jane Halton. 2020. "Developing Covid-19 Vaccines at Pandemic Speed." *New England Journal of Medicine* 382 (21): 1969–73. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2005630.

Mackey, K., C. K. Ayers, K. K. Kondo, et al. 2021. "Racial and Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19-Related Infections, Hospitalizations, and Deaths: A Systematic Review." *Annals of Internal Medicine* 174 (3): 362–73. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-6306.

Mcclain, Colleen. 2021. "34% of Lower-Income Broadband Users Had Trouble Paying for It amid COVID-19." *Pew Research Center*, June 3. https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2021/06/03/34-of-lower-income-home-broadband-users-have-had-trouble-paying-for-their-service-amid-covid-19/.

Mikati, Ihab, Adam F. Benson, Thomas J. Luben, Jason D. Sacks, Jennifer Richmond-Bryant. 2018. "Disparities in Distribution of Particulate Matter Emission Sources by Race and Poverty Status." *American Journal of Public Health* 108 (4): 480–85. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304297.

Morita, Sae X., Hirotaka Kato. 2023. "Racial Disparity and Trend of Food Scarcity Amid COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States." *Cureus* 15 (1): e33232. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.33232.

Morton, Emma, Steven J. Barnes, Erin E. Michalak. 2020. "Participatory Digital Health Research: A New Paradigm for MHealth Tool Development." *General Hospital Psychiatry* 66: 67–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2020.07.005.

Nuriddin, Ayah, Graham Mooney, and Alexandre I. R. White. 2020. "Reckoning with Histories of Medical Racism and Violence in the USA." *The Lancet* 396(10256): 949–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32032-8.

Polianski, Igor J. 2021. "Airborne Infection with Covid-19? A Historical Look at a Current Controversy." *Microbes and Infection* 23 (9–10): 104851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2021.104851.

Polyakova, Maria, Victoria Udalova, Geoffrey Kocks, Katie Genadek, Keith Finlay, Amy N. Finkelstein. 2021. "Racial Disparities in Excess All-Cause Mortality During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic Varied Substantially Across States." *Health Affairs* 40 (2): 307–16. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.02142.

Puhach, Olha, Kenneth Adea, Nicolas Hulo, et al. 2022. "Infectious Viral Load in Unvaccinated and Vaccinated Individuals Infected with Ancestral, Delta or Omicron SARS-CoV-2." *Nature Medicine* 28 (7): 1491–1500. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01816-0.

Pulido, Laura. 2016. "Flint, Environmental Racism, and Racial Capitalism." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 27 (3): 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/10455752.2016.1213013.

Quinn, Sandra Crouse, Supriya Kumar. 2014. "Health Inequalities and Infectious Disease Epidemics: A Challenge for Global Health Security." *Biosecurity and Bioterrorism* 12 (5): 263–73. https://doi.org/10.1089/bsp.2014.0032.

Robertson, McKaylee M., Sarah Gorrell Kulkarni, Madhura Rane, et al. 2021. "Cohort Profile: A National, Community-Based Prospective Cohort Study of SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic Outcomes in the USA-the CHASING COVID Cohort Study." *BMJ Open* 0: e048778. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-048778.

Robinson, Cedric J. 1983. *Black Marxism: The Making of the Black Radical Tradition*. London: Zed Press.

Rothstein, Richard. 2018. *The Color of Law: A Forgotten History of How Our Government Segregated America.* New York: Norton.

Schmidt, Harald, Dorothy E. Roberts, Nwamaka D. Eneanya. 2022. "Rationing, Racism and Justice: Advancing the Debate around 'colourblind' COVID-19 Ventilator Allocation." *Journal of Medical Ethics* 48 (2): 126–30. https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2020-106856.

Shkembi, A., L. M. Smith, R. L. Neitzel. 2024. "Linking Environmental Injustices in Detroit, MI to Institutional Racial Segregation through Historical Federal Redlining." *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology* 34 (3): 389–98. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-022-00512-y.

Strauss, Margot. 2019. "A Historical Exposition of Spatial Injustice and Segregated Urban Settlement in South Africa." *Fundamina* 25 (2): 163–86. https://doi.org/10.17159/2411-7870/2019/v25n2a6.

Sun, Chanjuan, Zhiqiang Zhai. 2020. "The Efficacy of Social Distance and Ventilation Effectiveness in Preventing COVID-19 Transmission." *Sustainable Cities and Society* 62: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102390.

Sun, Xinyu, Amelia Clarke, Adriane MacDonald. 2020. "Implementing Community Sustainability Plans through Partnership: Examining the Relationship between Partnership Structural Features and Climate Change Mitigation Outcomes." *Sustainability* 12 (15): 6172. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12156172.

Supady, Alexander, J. Randall Curtis, Darryl Abrams, Roberto Lorusso, Thomas Bein, Joachim Boldt, Crystal E. Brown, Daniel Duerschmied, Victoria Metaxa, Daniel Brodie. 2021. "Allocating Scarce Intensive Care Resources during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Practical Challenges to Theoretical Frameworks." *Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 9 (4): 430–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30580-4.

Tessum, Christopher W., Joshua S. Apte, Andrew L. Goodkind, et al. 2019. "Inequity in Consumption of Goods and Services Adds to Racial-Ethnic Disparities in Air Pollution Exposure." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (13): 6001–6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818859116.

Tessum, Christopher W., David A. Paolella, Sarah E. Chambliss, Joshua S. Apte, Jason D. Hill, Julian D. Marshall. 2021. "$PM_{2.5}$ Polluters Disproportionately and Systemically Affect People of Color in the United States." *Science Advances* 7 (18): 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf4491.

Trivedi, Shrey, Savvas Gkantonas, Léo C. C. Mesquita, Salvatore Iavarone, Pedro M. de Oliveira, Epaminondas Mastorakos. 2021. "Estimates of the Stochasticity of Droplet Dispersion by a Cough." *Physics of Fluids* 33 (11): 115130-1–112130-13. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0070528.

Ubah, Chukwudi S., Gregory D. Kearney, Lok R. Pokhrel. 2024. "Asthma May Not Be a Potential Risk Factor for Severe COVID-19 Illness: A Scoping Review." *Environmental Health Insights* 18: 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302231221925.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2023. "U.S. Census Bureau QuickFacts: United States." January 7. https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/RHI225222.

Van Egeren, Debra, Madison Stoddard, Laura F. White, et al. 2023. "Vaccines Alone Cannot Slow the Evolution of SARS-CoV-2." *Vaccines* 11 (4): 853. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11040853.

Williams, D. R., Jourdyn A. Lawrence, Brigette A. Davis. 2019. "Racism and Health: Evidence and Needed Research." *Annual Review of Public Health* 40: 105–25. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043750.

Wiltz, Jennifer L., Amy K. Feehan, Noelle Angelique M. Molinari, et al. 2022. "Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Receipt of Medications for Treatment of COVID-19 —United States, March 2020-August 2021." *MMWR* 71 (3): 96–102. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7103e1.

Woodward, C. Vann. 2001. *The Strange Career of Jim Crow*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wosik, Jedrek, Marat Fudim, Blake Cameron, et al. 2020. "Telehealth Transformation: COVID-19 and the Rise of Virtual Care." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 27 (6): 957–62. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa067.

Wouters, Olivier J., Kenneth C. Shadlen, Maximilian Salcher-Konrad, et al. 2021. "Challenges in Ensuring Global Access to COVID-19 Vaccines: Production, Affordability, Allocation, and Deployment." *The Lancet* 397 (10278): 1023–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00306-8.

World Health Organization. 2021. "Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): How Is It Transmitted?" December 23. Accessed October 20, 2024. https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-how-is-it-transmitted.

World Health Organization. n.d. "Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: Implications for Infection Prevention Precautions." Accessed October 20, 2024. https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/transmission-of-sars-cov-2-implications-for-infection-prevention-precautions.

Wu, X., R. C. Nethery, M. B. Sabath, D. Braun, F. Dominici. 2020. "Air Pollution and COVID-19 Mortality in the United States: Strengths and Limitations of an Ecological Regression Analysis." *Science Advances* 6 (45): eabd4049. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd4049.

Zaragoza, Larry J. 2019. "The Environmental Protection Agency's Use of Community Involvement to Engage Communities at Superfund Sites." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16 (21): 4166. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214166.